arXiv:math/9902014v1 [math.CV] 2 Feb 1999

Holomorphic Curves and Integral Points off Divisors

Junjiro Noguchi and Jörg Winkelmann

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We deal with the distributions of holomorphic curves and integral points off divisors. We will simultaneouly prove an optimal dimension estimate from above of a subvariety W off a divisor D which contains a Zariski dense entire holomorphic curve, or a Zariski dense D-integral point set, provided that in the latter case everything is defined over a number field. Then, if the number of components of D is large, the estimate leads to the constancy of such a holomorphic curve or the finiteness of such an integral point set. At the begining, we extend logarithmic Bloch-Ochiai's Theorem to the Kähler case.

1 Introduction and results.

There have been found a number of interesting analogues among three themes, the Nevanlinna theory, the Kobayashi hyperbolicity, and the Diophantine approximation. Cf., e.g., [Ko70], [Ko98], [L87], [L91], [N91], [N92], [N97], [NO$\frac{84}{90}$], [V96]. In this paper we deal with the distributions of holomorphic curves and integral points off divisors. Let V be a complex projective algebraic variety and let D be a hypersurface (an effective reduced divisor) of V. We will prove an optimal dimension estimate from above of a subvariety $W \subset V$ off a divisor D which admits, roughly speaking, an entire holomorphic curve $f : \mathbf{C} \to W \setminus D$ with Zariski dense image, or which contains a Zariski dense D-integral point set, provided that everything is defined over a number field. Then, if the number of components of D is large, the estimate leads to the constancy of such a holomorphic curve or the finiteness of such an integral point set. Here we have the following counter objects:

$$\text{(1.1)} \qquad \text{a non-constant holomorphic curve } f : \mathbf{C} \to V \setminus D \iff \text{an infinite } D\text{-integral point set of } V.$$

As for holomorphic curves, we deal with them in a compact Kähler manifold unless the algebraicity is needed. For we think it is the most suited place for holomorphic curves.

Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension m and let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a family of hypersurfaces of M. We say that $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ is *in general position* if for any distinct indices $1 \leqq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leqq l$, the codimension of every irreducible component of the intersection $\bigcap_{j=1}^k D_{i_j}$ is k for $k \leqq m$, and $\bigcap_{j=1}^k D_{i_j} = \emptyset$ for $k > m$. This notion is defined for singular M as well. Assume that M is Kähler. We denote by $c_1(D_i) \in H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})_i \cap H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ the Poincaré dual of $D_i \in H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbf{Z})_i$, where $H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})_i$ (resp., $H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbf{Z})_i$) stands for the image of $H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$ (resp., $H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbf{Z})$) in the space $H^2(M, \mathbf{R})$ (resp., $H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbf{R})$). Let $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ denote the $\mathbf{Z}$-rank of the subgroup of $H^2(M, \mathbf{R})$ generated by $\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l$. For a subvariety $W \subset M$ we denote by $q(W)$ the irregularity of W (= the dimension of the vector space of global holomorphic 1-forms of a desingularization model of W, which is independent of the model), and by $\#\{W \cap D_i \neq W\}$ the number of distinct non-empty cut loci $W \cap D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, such that $D_i \cap W \neq W$.

In the present paper it is assumed that an ample or a very ample divisor means an ample or a very ample effective one. For a divisor D we write the same notation D for its support unless confusion occurs. We use the standard notations, $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ for the sets of integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers, respectively.

The first purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.2 *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a family of hypersurfaces of M in general position. Let $W \subset M$ be a subvariety such that there is a non-constant holomorphic curve $f : \mathbf{C} \to W \setminus \bigcup_{D_i \not\supset W} D_i$ with Zariski dense image. Then we have that*

(i) $\#\{W \cap D_i \neq W\} + q(W) \leqq \dim W + \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l$*:*

(ii) *Assume that all D_i are ample. Then we have*

$$(l - m) \dim W \leqq m \left(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l - q(W)\right)^+.$$

Here $(\cdot)^+$ stands for the maximum of 0 and the number.

Let $\mathrm{NS}(M)$ denote the Neron-Severi group of M; i.e., $\mathrm{NS}(M) = \mathrm{Pic}(M)/\mathrm{Pic}^0(M)$. We know that

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l \leqq \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{NS}(M). \tag{1.3}$$

The following corollary provides also examples.

Corollary 1.4 *Let the notation be as above.*

(i) *Assume that all D_i are ample and that $l > m(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathrm{NS}(M)+1)$. Then $M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i$ is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded into M.*

(ii) *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$ be an irreducible subvariety, and let $D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, be distinct hypersurface cuts of X that are in general position as hypersurfaces of X. If $l > 2\dim X$, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i$ is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded into X.*

(iii) *Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ be a family of ample hypersurfaces of M in general position. Let $f : \mathbf{C} \to M$ be a holomorphic curve such that for every D_i, either $f(\mathbf{C}) \subset D_i$, or $f(\mathbf{C}) \cap D_i = \emptyset$. Assume that $l > m$. Then $f(\mathbf{C})$ is contained in an algebraic subspace W of M such that*

$$\dim W \leqq \frac{m}{l-m}\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathrm{NS}(M).$$

In special, if $M = \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$, then we have

$$\dim W \leqq \frac{m}{l-m}.$$

The above Corollary 1.4, (ii) for $X = \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$ was given by Babets [B84], but his proof seems to carry some incompleteness and confusion. In the case of $\mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$ and hyperplanes D_i, the above (ii) with $X = \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$ and (iii) for $f : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i$ were first proved by Fujimoto [Fu72] and Green [G72], where the linearity of W was also proved, and by their examples the dimension estimate is best possible in general (see Remark 4.12).

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, the logarithmic version of Bloch-Ochiai's theorem ([Bl26], [O77], [K80]) proved by [N77], [N80], [N81], [NO$\frac{84}{90}$]) will play a crucial role. For the proof of Theorem 1.2, (i), we will extend logarithmic Bloch-Ochiai's theorem to the Kähler case (cf. [N96] and **Theorem 2.12**).

For a complex torus M these methods are not effective very much, because M may have a large Neron-Severi group ($\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathrm{NS}(M) \leqq (\dim M)^2$). Here a different method yields better results.

Proposition 1.5 *Let M be a complex torus and let m_0 be the positive minimal dimension of complex subtori of M. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ be a family of hypersurfaces of M in general position. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

(i) *$l > \dim M - m_0$ and all D_i are ample,*

(ii) $l > 2(\dim M - m_0)$.

Then $M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i$ is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded into M.

As a special case this contains the result of M. Green [G78] that the complement of a divisor in a simple torus is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded. In the case of simple torus M, $m_0 = \dim M$ and any hypersurface of M is ample.

In the Diophantine approximation, Theorem 1.2, (i) is analogous to Vojta [V96], Corollary 0.3. Here we apply the analogue of (1.1). Then, by making use of [V96], Theorem 0.2 and Corollary 0.3 in the same way as in the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4, we have the following results in the Diophantine approximation (for the notation, see §3).

Theorem 1.6 *Assume that everything is defined over a number field K, and S is a finite subset of a set $M(K)$ of inequivalent non-trivial places of K with product formula such that S contains all infinite places. Let V be a projective smooth variety of dimension m. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ be a family of ample hypersurfaces of V in general position. Let $W \subset V$ be a subvariety of V. Assume that there exists a Zariski dense $(\sum_{D_i \not\supset W} D_i \cap W, S)$-integral point set of $W(K)$ in W. Then we have*

$$(l-m)\dim W \leqq m\left(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^{l} - q(W)\right)^{+}.$$

Corollary 1.7 *Let the notation be as above.*

(i) *Assume that all D_i are ample and that $l > m(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathrm{NS}(V)+1)$. Then any $(\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_i, S)$-integral point set of $V(K)$ is finite.*

(ii) *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}_K^m$ be an irreducible subvariety, and let $D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, be distinct hypersurface cuts of X that are in general position as hypersurfaces of X. If $l > 2\dim X$, then any $(\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_i, S)$-integral point set of $X(K)$ is finite.*

(iii) *Let $D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, be ample divisors of V in general position. Let A be a subset of $V(K)$ such that for every D_i, either $A \subset D_i$, or A is a $(\sum_{D_i \not\supset A} D_i, S)$-integral point set. Assume that $l > m$. Then A is contained in an algebraic subvariety W of V such that*

$$\dim W \leqq \frac{m}{l-m}\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\,\mathrm{NS}(V).$$

In special, if $V = \mathbf{P}_K^m$, then we have

$$\dim W \leqq \frac{m}{l-m}.$$

The dimension estimates obtained above are optimal (see Remark 4.12). M. Ru and P.-M. Wong [RW91] dealt with the special case of $V = \mathbf{P}^m_K$ and hyperplanes D_i, and proved that if A is a $(\sum_{i=1}^l D_i, S)$-integral point set, then A is contained in a finite union W of linear subspaces such that

$$\dim W \leqq (2m + 1 - l)^+.$$

Cf. $\dim W \leqq m/(l-m)$ of Corollary 1.7, (iii).

Acknowledgement. The first author is grateful to Professor Masa-Hiko Saito for helpful conversations on Deligne's Hodge theory. The present joint work was partially supported by Japan-U.S. Cooperative Science Program, and Japan-U.S. Mathematical Institute at Johns Hopkins University, to which the authors express sincere gratitude. The second author also wishes to thank the "Schweizer Nationalfonds" for partial support.

2 Logarithmic Bloch-Ochiai's Theorem for Kähler manifolds.

In this section we assume that M is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension m and let D be a hypersurface of M. We denote by Ω^1_M (resp., $\Omega^1_M(\log D)$) the sheaf of (resp., logarithmic) 1-forms (resp., along D). We set

$$q(M) = \dim H^0(M, \Omega^1_M), \qquad \bar{q}(M \setminus D) = \dim H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))$$

and call $q(M)$ (resp., $\bar{q}(M \setminus D)$) the (resp., *logarithmic*) irregularity of M (resp., $M \setminus D$). Set

$$M' = M \setminus D.$$

By the Hodge theory due to Deligne [D71] we have

$$\bar{q}(M') - q(M) = b_1(M') - b_1(M). \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, global logarithmic 1-forms over M are closed (Deligne [D71], Noguchi [N95]).

We recall the construction of the quasi-Albanese mapping due to Iitaka [I76]. Let D_i $(i = 1, \ldots, d)$ denote the connected components of the smooth part $D \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$ of D. Let γ_i denote small circles around D_i; that is, choose a point $p_i \in D_i$ and local coordinates $w^{(i)}_k, 1 \leqq k \leqq m$, about p_i such that $D = \{w^{(i)}_1 = 0\}$ near p_i, and let $\gamma_i : S^1 = \{e^{i\theta}; \theta \in \mathbf{R}\} \to N$ be given by $e^{i\theta} \mapsto (\epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with small $\epsilon > 0$. Then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies that $\gamma_i \in H_1(M', \mathbf{Z}), 1 \leqq i \leqq d$, generate the kernel of the natural map $H_1(M', \mathbf{Z}) \to H_1(M, \mathbf{Z})$. If $\omega \in H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))$ has a pole on D_i, then $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega \neq 0$.

On the other hand, $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega = 0$ for all closed 1-forms ω which are holomorphic on D_i. Hence equality (2.1) implies that the $\mathbf{Q}$-span of γ_i's in $H_1(M', \mathbf{Q})$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space of dimension $\rho := \dim H^0(\Omega^1_M(\log D))/H^0(\Omega^1_M)$. Together we obtain the following: We may renumber γ_i's and choose logarithmic 1-forms ω_k ($k \in \{1, \ldots, \rho\}$) with the following properties:

(2.2)

(i) $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_\rho$ form a basis of the vector space $H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))/H^0(M, \Omega^1_M)$,

(ii) $\int_{\gamma_j} \omega_k = \sigma_{jk} \in \mathbf{Z}$ for all $1 \leqq i \leqq d$, $1 \leqq k \leqq \rho$,

(iii) $\sigma_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ (Kronecker's symbol) if $j \leqq \rho$.

Now, we define the *quasi-Albanese variety* $A(M')$ as the complex quotient Lie group $H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))^*/H_1(M', \mathbf{Z})$, where "$*$" stands for the dual of the vector space, and the *quasi-Albanese mapping*

$$\alpha : x \in M' \to \left[\omega \mapsto \int_{x_0}^{x} \omega\right] \in A(M'),$$

where $x_0 \in M'$ is a fixed base point. By (2.2) the short exact sequence of complex vector spaces

$$0 \to \left(H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))/H^0(M, \Omega^1_M)\right)^* \to H^0(M, \Omega^1_M(\log D))^* \to H^0(M, \Omega^1_M)^* \to 0$$

yields a short exact sequence of complex Lie groups

$$1 \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \to A(M') \xrightarrow{\pi} A(M) \to 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{C}^*$ denotes the multiplicative group of non-zero complex numbers, and $A(M)$ denotes the ordinary Albanese variety (torus) of M.

We are now going to compactify $A(M')$. We start with the standard compactification

$$\overline{\mathbf{C}^*} = \mathbf{P}^1 = \mathbf{C}^* \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}, \qquad (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \hookrightarrow (\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho = (\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho.$$

This is an algebraic compactification. In addition, it is equivariant for the multiplicative $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-action on itself by translations. Therefore the $(\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho$-fiber bundle associated to the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-principal bundle $A(M') \to A(M)$ via the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-action on $(\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho$ yields a compactification $\overline{A(M')}$ of $A(M')$ with projection $\bar{\pi} : \overline{A(M')} \to A(M)$. This compactification is

obviously $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-equivariant. Furthermore, if λ_a denotes the automorphism of $A(M)$ given by a translation with $a \in A(M)$, then the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-principal bundle $\lambda_a^* A \to A(M)$ is isomorphic as $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-principal bundle to $A(M') \to A(M)$. This implies that λ_a can be lifted to an automorphism of $\overline{A(M')}$ which stabilizes $A(M')$ and commutes with the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$-principal action on $A(M')$. It hence follows that $A(M') \hookrightarrow \overline{A(M')}$ is $A(M')$-equivariant.

Lemma 2.4 *Let the notation be as above. Then the quasi-Albanese mapping $\alpha : M' \to A(M')$ extends to a meromorphic mapping $\overline{\alpha} : M \to \overline{A(M')}$ which is holomorphic on $M \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$.*

Proof. Let $p \in D \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$. Then there is a $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $p \in D_k$. Since the bundle $\overline{A(M')} \stackrel{\bar\pi}{\to} A(M)$ is locally trivial, there is a small open neighborhood W of $\bar\pi \circ \alpha(p)$ in $A(M)$ such that $\bar\pi^{-1}W \cong (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \times W$. Let $\beta = \bar\pi \circ \alpha : M \to A(M)$ be the Albanese mapping of M. We may write α near p as

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\rho, \beta),$$

where $\alpha_j(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \omega_j$. The choice of ω_j now implies that $\lim_{x\to p} \alpha_j(x)$ converges in $\mathbf{P}^1$: more precisely, $\lim_{x\to p} \alpha_j(x) = 0$ if $\sigma_{jk} > 0$, and $\lim_{x\to p} \alpha_j(x) = \infty$ if $\sigma_{jk} < 0$. If $\sigma_{jk} = 0$, then ω_j is holomorphic on D_k and $\lim_{x\to p} \alpha_j(x)$ has a limit inside $\mathbf{C}^*$. Hence α extends to a holomorphic mapping from $M \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D \to \overline{A(M')}$.

Now, let $p \in \operatorname{Sing} D$. Observe that $\operatorname{Sing} D$ has codimension at least two in M. Then there is a small open neighborhood U of p in M such that $U \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$ is simply connected. The mapping $\alpha : U \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D \to \overline{A(M')}$ is lifted to a mapping $\tilde\alpha$ from $U \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$ to the universal covering of $\overline{A(M')}$ which is $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho \times \mathbf{C}^{q(M)}$. Hence, $\tilde\alpha$ are described as a tuple of meromorphic functions on $U \setminus \operatorname{Sing} D$. Meromorphic (resp., holomorphic) functions can be extended meromorphically (resp., holomorphically) through an analytic subset of codimension at least two. Hence α extends through $\operatorname{Sing} D$ to a meromorphic mapping from U to $\overline{A(M')}$. *Q.E.D.*

We call a complex Lie group T' a *quasi-torus* if T' carries an exact sequence of complex Lie groups similar to (2.3) with a complex torus T instead of $A(M)$:

$$1 \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \to T' \stackrel{\pi}{\to} T \to 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Then we can take a compactification $\overline{T'}$ of T' as $\overline{A(M')}$ constructed above for $A(M')$, such that $\bar\pi : \overline{T'} \to T$ is a holomorphic $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho$-bundle and the inclusion $T' \hookrightarrow \overline{T'}$ is T'-equivariant. Let X be an irreducible complex subspace of $\overline{T'}$ and set $X' = X \cap T'$. Here we would like to discuss the stabilizer $\operatorname{St}(X)$ of X defined as the subgroup consisting of those elements of T' whose actions preserve the set X, and the quotient $T'/\operatorname{St}(X)$.

Since X' is dense in X, it is clear that

$$\mathrm{St}(X) = \{t \in T' : t \cdot X' \subset X\},$$

where "$\cdot$" stands for the action of T' on $\overline{T'}$. Using $X' \subset T'$, one may reformulate it as

$$\mathrm{St}(X) = \{t \in T' : t \cdot x \in X \text{ for } \forall x \in X'\} = T' \cap \left(\bigcap_{x \in X'} x^{-1} \cdot X \right),$$

where x^{-1} denotes the inverse of x with respect to the group law of T'. The last description of $\mathrm{St}(X)$ implies that $\mathrm{St}(X)$ is Zariski-open in its closure in $\overline{T'}$. In particular it is a closed complex Lie subgroup of T'. Moreover, $\mathrm{St}(X)$ is open and dense in the compact analytic set $\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)}$ that is the closure of $\mathrm{St}(X)$ in $\overline{T'}$. The proper mapping theorem implies that $\bar{\pi}(\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)})$ is a compact analytic subset of T. As a closure of the group $\pi(\mathrm{St}(X))$, the compact set $\bar{\pi}(\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)})$ must be a subgroup of T, too. Now, $\pi(\mathrm{St}(X))$ is an open and dense subgroup of the topological group $\bar{\pi}(\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)})$. For any topological group, there never exists any open and dense subgroup except the group itself. Hence $\pi(\mathrm{St}(X)) = \bar{\pi}(\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)})$ and

$$\pi(\mathrm{St}(X)) \text{ is a compact subgroup of } T. \tag{2.6}$$

The intersection $\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)} \cap (\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho$ is a closed analytic subset of $(\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho = (\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho$. ¿From Chow's Theorem it follows that $\overline{\mathrm{St}(X)} \cap (\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\rho$ is algebraic, and so is $\mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$. Thus $\mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$ is an algebraic subgroup of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho$. Hence

$$(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho / (\mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho) \cong (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \tag{2.7}$$

for some integer $\tau \geqq 0$. This yields an short exact sequence for the quotient group $T'/\mathrm{St}(X)$:

$$1 \to \mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \cong (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \to T'/\mathrm{St}(X) \to T/\pi(\mathrm{St}(X)) \to 1, \tag{2.8}$$

where $T/\pi(\mathrm{St}(X))$ is a compact torus denoted by T_1. We set $T_1' = T'/\mathrm{St}(X)$ which is again a quasi-torus.

Now, taking an algebraic equivariant compactification $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \hookrightarrow (\overline{\mathbf{C}^*})^\tau = (\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$ of $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau$, we obtain the $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$-bundle over T_1 associated to the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau$-bundle $T_1' \to T_1$, which yields a T_1'-equivariant compactification $\overline{T_1'}$ of T_1'.

We now claim that the holomorphic projection $\lambda : T' \to T_1'$ extends to a meromorphic mapping $\bar{\lambda} : \overline{T'} \to \overline{T_1'}$. We consider the projection $\lambda : T' \to T_1'$ in two steps:

$$T' \to T'/(\mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho) \to T'/\mathrm{St}(X) = T_1'.$$

Setting $T'_2 = T'/(\mathrm{St}(X) \cap (\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho)$, we have a short exact sequence by (2.8)

$$1 \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \to T'_2 \to T \to 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Using the above chosen algebraic compactification $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$, we obtain a compactification $\overline{T'_2}$ of T'_2, which is a $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$-bundle over T associated to the $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau$-principal bundle $T'_2 \to T$. Both T' and T'_2 are bundles over the same compact base manifold T. Hence, in order to discuss the closure of the graph of the mapping $T' \to T'_2$ in $\overline{T'} \times \overline{T'_2}$ we may restrict ourselves to the fibers over the base manifold T. But the fibers $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho$ and $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$ are algebraic and the projection $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau$ is an algebraic morphism of algebraic groups. Hence the projection $(\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau$ extends to a meromorphic mapping $(\mathbf{P}^1)^\rho \to (\mathbf{P}^1)^\tau$, and consequently the projection $T' \to T'_2$ extends to a meromorphic mapping $\overline{T'} \to \overline{T'_2}$.

Next, let us discuss $T'_2 \to T'_1$. Set

$$C = \mathrm{St}(X)/((\mathbf{C}^*)^\rho \cap \mathrm{St}(X))$$

Then $C \cong \pi(\mathrm{St}(X))$ and it is a compact torus by (2.6). Hence the projection $T'_2 \to T'_1$ is the quotient of the manifold T'_2 by the action of the compact complex Lie group C. In general, for actions of a *compact* complex Lie group on a normal complex space, there always exists a geometric quotient which is again a normal complex space (see [H63], p. 358, Satz 20). Since the projection $T'_2 \to T'_1$ is C-equivariant, it extends to a holomorphic mapping $\overline{T'_2} \to \overline{T'_1}$, and moreover, the quotient $\overline{T'_2}/C$ is isomorphic to $\overline{T'_1}$.

We have $X_1 := \bar{\lambda}(X) \subset \overline{T'_1}$ and $X'_1 := \lambda(X') \subset T'_1$ such that $X'_1 = X_1 \cap T'_1$ and $\mathrm{St}(X'_1) = \{0\}$. Then we deduce from the jet projection method used in [N81], [NO$\frac{84}{90}$] and [N98] that X'_1 is of general type, and specially, X_1 is a Moishezon space. It follows from (2.8) that

$$1 \to (\mathbf{C}^*)^\tau \to T'_1 \stackrel{\pi_1}{\to} T_1 \to 1, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\pi_1 : T'_1 \to T_1$ extends to a holomorphic projection $\bar{\pi}_1 : \overline{T'_1} \to T_1$. If $\pi_1(X_1)$ generates T_1, then T_1 is an Abelian variety, and hence T'_1 is a so-called quasi-Abelian or semi-Abelian variety; the sequence mappings are algebraic morphisms.

Summarizing the above obtained, we have

Lemma 2.11 *Let T' be a quasi-torus and let $X \subset \overline{T'}$ be an irreducible complex subspace.*

(i) *The quotient space $T'/\mathrm{St}(X)$ is a quasi-torus, and the quotient mapping $\lambda : T' \to T'/\mathrm{St}(X)$ is holomorphic and meromorphically extends to $\bar{\lambda} : \overline{T'} \to \overline{T'/\mathrm{St}(X)}$.*

(ii) *If X' generates T', then $T'/\mathrm{St}(X)$ is a quasi-Abelian variety.*

Theorem 2.12 *Let M be a Kähler manifold and let D be a hypersurface of M. If $\bar{q}(M \setminus D) > dim M$, then the image of an entire holomorphic curve $f : \mathbf{C} \to M \setminus D$ is contained in a proper analytic subset of M.*

Remark. For algebraic M this was proved by [N77], [N81], [NO$\frac{84}{90}$].

Proof. Set $M' = M \setminus D$, and let $\alpha : M' \to A(M')$ be the quasi-Albanese mapping. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that α extends meromorphically to $\bar{\alpha} : M \to \overline{A(M')}$ between the compactifications. Set $X = \bar{\alpha}(M)$ and $X' = X \cap A(M')$. Then X' generates $A(M')$ by virtue of the universal property of the quasi-Albanese variety (Iitaka [I76]). Set $A'_1 = A(M')/\mathrm{St}(X)$. It follows from the assumption that $\dim A'_1 > 0$. Let $\lambda : A(M') \to A'_1$ be the quotient mapping with the meromorphic extension $\bar{\lambda} : \overline{A(M')} \to \overline{A'_1}$. Set $X_1 = \bar{\lambda}(X)$ and $X'_1 = X_1 \cap A'_1$. Then $\mathrm{St}(X_1) = \{0\}$ and X'_1 generates A'_1. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that A'_1 is quasi-Abelian and X'_1 is an algebraic subvariety of A'_1. Thus the case is reduced to algebraic one. We know by [N81] that the composite $\lambda \circ \alpha \circ f : \mathbf{C} \to X'_1$ is algebraically degenerate. Thus the claim follows. *Q.E.D.*

3 Integral point sets

In this section K denotes a number field, and $M(K)$ denotes a set of inequivalent non-trivial places (absolute values) of K satisfying the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in M(K)} |a|_v = 1, \qquad a \in K.$$

Let $M(K)_0$ denote the subset of all non-archimedean places of $M(K)$. We have the ring $\mathcal{O}(K)$ of K-integers $a \in K$ defined by

$$|a|_v \leqq 1, \quad \forall v \in M(K)_0.$$

Let S be a finite subset of $M(K)$ containing all infinite places. We call $a \in K$ an *S-integer* if

$$|a|_v \leqq 1, \qquad \forall v \in M(K) \setminus S.$$

Definition 3.1 Let $R \subset M(K)_0$ be the complement of a finite subset, possibly empty, of $M(K)_0$. We call a collection $\{c_v\}_{v \in R}$ of real numbers $c_v \in \mathbf{R}$ an *R-constant* if but for finitely many $v \in R$, $c_v = 0$.

Let V be a projective variety with a Cartier divisor D. We are going to define the notion of a (D,S)-integral point set of $V(K)$. If D is very ample, a set $A \subset V(K)$ shall be called "(D,S)-integral point set" if and only if there is a proper embedding of $V \setminus D$ into an affine space induced by sections of the line bundle associated to D such that all the coordinates of all the points in the image of A are S-integers. To state a definition for arbitrary divisors we need to introduce Weil functions (cf. [L83]). These are actually non-archimedean fiber length functions (metrics) of a line bundle. We introduce them in a constructive way familiar with complex geometers.

Let $L = [D]$ be the line bundle determined by D. Let $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be an affine covering of V such that the restrictions $L|U_\lambda$ are trivial. There is a system of transition functions $f_{\lambda\mu}$ on $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ associated with this trivialization of L. Now first, we assume that L has no base locus, so that there is a basis $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^N$ of $H^0(V,L)$ such that

$$\Phi_L = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N) : V \to \mathbf{P}_K^N$$

is a morphism. Let $\sigma_i|U_\lambda$ be given by a regular function $\sigma_{i\lambda} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\lambda)$. Then

$$\sigma_{i\lambda} = f_{\lambda\mu}\sigma_{i\mu} \text{ on } U_\lambda \cap U_\mu.$$

Let $\sigma = \{\sigma_\lambda\} \in H^0(V,L)$ be a section such that the divisor $(\sigma) = D$, and define a v-length of σ by

$$||\sigma(x)||_v = \frac{|\sigma_\lambda(x)|_v}{\max_i |\sigma_{i\lambda}(x)|_v}, \qquad v \in M(K)_0, \quad x \in V(K),$$

which is independent of λ. Then we set

$$n_v(\sigma)(x) = \log \frac{1}{||\sigma(x)||_v}, \quad v \in M(K)_0,\ x \in V(K).$$

Suppose that other choices of sections σ_i and σ similarly yield $n'(v,\sigma')$, where $(\sigma') = D$. Then there is an $M(K)_0$-constant $\{c_v\}$ such that

$$-c_v \leqq n_v(\sigma)(x) - n'_v(\sigma')(x) \leqq c_v. \tag{3.2}$$

We define

$$n_v(D)(x) = n_v(\sigma)(x), \qquad v \in M(K)_0,\ x \in V(K). \tag{3.3}$$

Then $n_v(D)$ is well-defined up to a term bounded by an $M(K)_0$-constant.

One can show that $n_v(D+D') = n_v(D)+n_v(D')$ for any two divisors D, D' such that the associated line bundles have no base locus. Hence for an arbitrary divisor D it is natural

to proceed as follows. Let D_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be effective divisors such that $D = D_1 - D_2$ and such that the line bundles L_i associated to D_i have both no base locus. Put

$$n_v(D) = n_v(D_1) - n_v(D_2). \tag{3.4}$$

This makes sense except where $n_v(D_1) = n_v(D_2) = -\infty$ (it happens in $D_1 \cap D_2$). However, equation (3.4) is equivalent to a more explicit formula which makes sense even in $D_1 \cap D_2$. Namely, taking a refinement of the above covering $\{U_\lambda\}$ if necessary, we have a basis $\sigma_{jk} = \{\sigma_{jk\lambda}\}$ of $H^0(V, L_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, such that $\sigma_{jk\lambda} \in \mathcal{O}(U_\lambda)$. Then (3.4) yields

$$n_v(D) = n_v(\sigma) = \log \frac{\max_k |\sigma_{1k\lambda}(x)|_v}{\max_l |\sigma_{2l\lambda(x)}|_v} \frac{1}{|\sigma_\lambda(x)|_v}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$v \in M(K)_0,\ x \in V(K).$$

for $x \notin D_1 \cap D_2$ and we may take this as definition for $n_v(D)$ if $x \in D_1 \cap D_2$.

Thus there is a well-defined (up to addition by a term bounded by an $M(K)_0$-constant) "Weil function" $n_v(D)$ for every Cartier divisor.

Definition 3.6 A subset $A \subset V(K)$ is called a (D, S)-*integral point set* if there is an $M(K) \setminus S$-constant $\{c_v\}$ satisfying

$$n_v(D)(x) \leqq c_v, \qquad \forall v \in M(K) \setminus S,\ \forall x \in A.$$

When S contains no non-archimedian place, A is called simply a D-integral point set.

Note the following:

(i) An arbitrary finite $A \subset V(K)$ out of D is an (D, S)-integral point set.

(ii) If D is effective, then an arbitrary (D, S)-integral point set is contained in $V(K) \setminus D$.

(iii) The function $\{n_v(D)\}_{v \in M(K) \setminus S}$ is called a *Weil function*, and is an analogue of the *counting function of poles* in the Nevanlinna theory (cf. [V]). This is the reason why we use the notation $n_v(D)$ here.

(iv) For two divisors D, D' we have a natural addition formula

$$n_v(D + D') = n_v(D) + n_v(D').$$

For example, we take a very ample divisor D of V. Let $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ be a basis of $H^0(V, [D])$ such that $(\sigma_0) = D$. Setting $\phi_i = \sigma_i/\sigma_0, 1 \leqq i \leqq N$, we have an affine imbedding

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N) : V \setminus D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_K^N.$$

By definition

$$n_v(D)(x) = \log \max\{|\phi_i(x)|_v\}_{i=1}^N, \quad x \in V(K) \setminus D.$$

Therefore a subset $A \subset V(K) \setminus D$ is a (D, S)-integral point set if and only if there is an integer $c \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ such that all $c\phi_i(x)$ are S-integers for $1 \leqq i \leqq N$ and $x \in A$.

4 Proofs

We will simultaneously give the proofs of our statements for holomorphic curves and integral point sets, where the arguments are analogous.

(a) Proof of Theorem 1.2, (i).

Let W and $D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, be as in Theorem 1.2. Set $l_0 = \#\{W \cap D_i \neq W\}$. Changing the indices, we have

$$\{W \cap D_i\} = \{W \cap D_1, \dots, W \cap D_{l_0}\},$$

where $W \cap D_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0$, are distinct hypersurfaces of W. Let $\gamma : \tilde{W} \to W$ be a desingularization, and set

$$\tilde{D}_i = \gamma^{-1}(W \cap D_i), \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0, \qquad \tilde{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{l_0} \tilde{D}_i.$$

Then $\tilde{D}_i, 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0$, are distinct. Set

$$r = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l.$$

Since the Chern classes $c_1(D_i), 1 \leqq i \leqq l$, generate a subgroup of $H^2(M, \mathbf{R})$ of $\mathbf{Z}$-rank r, there are at least $l_0 - r$ independent $\mathbf{Z}$-linear relations between $c_1(D_i), 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{l_0} a_{ki} c_1(D_i) = 0, 1 \leqq k \leqq l_0 - r$, $a_{ki} \in \mathbf{Z}$, be such relations. By changing the indices and by elementary transformations of matrices one may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii} &\neq 0, \qquad 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0 - r, \\ a_{ki} &= 0, \qquad 1 \leqq k \neq i \leqq l_0 - r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Since M is Kähler, there are multiplicative meromorphic functions $\vartheta_k, 1 \leqq k \leqq l_0 - r$, such that the residue of logarithmic 1-forms $d \log \vartheta_k$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{l_0} a_{ki} D_i$ (cf., e.g., [N77], [N81]).

Since $D_i \cap W, 1 \leqq i \leqq l_0 - r$, are distinct, logarithmic 1-forms $\gamma^* d\log \vartheta_k, 1 \leqq k \leqq l_0 - r$, are linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}$. Thus we have

$$\bar{q}(\tilde{W} \setminus \tilde{D}) \geqq q(\tilde{W}) + l_0 - r = q(W) + l_0 - r. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $f : \mathbf{C} \to W \setminus D$ lifts to a holomorphic curve $\tilde{f} : \mathbf{C} \to \tilde{W} \setminus \tilde{D}$ with Zariski dense image, Theorem 2.12 and (4.2) imply that

$$q(W) + l_0 - r \leqq \dim W. \tag{4.3}$$

This proves (i) of Theorem 1.2.

(b) Proofs of Theorem 1.2, (ii), and Theorem 1.6.

We first prepare a result from the Diophantine approximation. Let K, $M(K)$, S, and V be as in §3.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be a family of hypersurfaces of V in general position. Let $W \subset V$ be a subvariety such that there is a Zariski dense $(\sum_{D_i \not\supset W} D_i \cap W, S)$-integral point set A in W. Then we have that*

$$\#\{W \cap D_i \neq W\} + q(W) \leqq \dim W + \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^l.$$

This is almost the same as Vojta [V96], Corollary 0.3, and follows from [V96], Theorem 0.2 and (4.2).

To prove Theorem 1.2, (ii) and Theorem 1.6, we count the number $l_0 = \#\{W \cap D_i \neq W\}$. In the sequel, we will take a finite extension of K as much as we need, but this does not weaken our claim.

Set $n = \dim W$. For every $1 \leqq j \leqq l_0$, let s_j denote the number of hypersurfaces D_i with $D_i \cap W = D_j \cap W$. Furthermore, let s_0 denote the number of divisors D_i with $W \subset D_i$. Changing the indices, we may assume that

$$s_1 \geqq s_2 \geqq \cdots \geqq s_{l_0}. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $l_1 = \min\{n, l_0\}$. Since the divisors are ample and in general position, it is clear that the intersection $W \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{l_1} D_i)$ is non-empty. Since the intersection of more than m of the divisors $\{D_i\}_1^l$ must be empty, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l_1} s_k \leqq m \tag{4.6}$$

For $l_0 \leqq n$ this yields $l \leqq m$.

For $l_0 > n$ we have $l_1 = n$. By (4.3) we have

$$r - q(W) \geqq l_0 - n > 0.$$

It follows from (4.5) that

$$\frac{1}{l_0}\sum_{k=1}^{l_0} s_k \leqq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k,$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l_0} s_k \leqq \frac{l_0}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} s_k. \tag{4.7}$$

Since $s_0 \leqq \frac{l_0}{n} s_0$, it follows from (4.7), (4.6) and (4.3) that

$$l = \sum_{k=0}^{l_0} s_k \leqq \frac{l_0}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n} s_k \leqq \frac{l_0 m}{n} \leqq m\left(1 + \frac{r - q(W)}{n}\right).$$

(c) Proof of Corollaries 1.5 and 1.7.

Due to M. Green [G77], there is a stratified version of Brody's theorem (cf. [Ko98], Theorem (3.6.13) for this generalized form):

Lemma 4.8 *Let X be a compact complex space and let $\{E_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of Cartier hypersurfaces of X. Assume that for every subset $\emptyset \subseteq J \subseteq I$, every holomorphic curve*

$$f : \mathbf{C} \to \bigcap_{j\in J} E_j \setminus \bigcup_{i\in I\setminus J} E_i$$

is reduced to a constant mapping, where $\bigcap_{j\in\emptyset} E_j = X$. Then $X \setminus \bigcup_i E_i$ is complete hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded into X.

Suppose that (i) of Corollary 1.5 or 1.7 is false. In case of Corollary 1.5, it follows from Lemma 4.8 that for some subset $\emptyset \subseteq J \subseteq I = \{1, \ldots, l\}$, there exists a non-constant holomorphic curve

$$f : \mathbf{C} \to \bigcap_{i\in J} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{i\in I\setminus J} D_i$$

Let W be the Zariski closure of $f(\mathbf{C})$ in M. Or, in case of Corollary 1.7, there exists an infinite $(\sum_{i=1}^{l} D_i, S)$-integral point set A of $V(K)$. Let W be a positive dimensional irreducible component of the Zariski closure of A in V. Then Theorem 1.2, (ii), or Theorem 1.6 implies that $l \leqq m(1 + \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{NS}(M \text{ or } V))$; this is a contradiction.

To prove (ii) and (iii) of Corollaries 1.5 and 1.7 we first note that for $\mathbf{P}^m(\mathbf{C})$ we get

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}\{c_1(D_i)\}_{i=1}^{l} = 1. \tag{4.9}$$

Then we have (ii) by arguments similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.2, (ii)/Theorem 1.6 and (i) of Corollary 1.5/1.7.

Claim (iii) is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2, (ii) or of Theorem 1.6 and (4.9).

(d) Proof of Proposition 1.5.

First, we note that in Lemma 4.8 f may be assumed to be of order at most 2; hence, in the present case f is a translate of a one-parameter subgroup of M. Furthermore, by [A72] such f, if exists, must take values in any ample divisor of M.

Assume that (i) is false. Then there is a translate W of a positive dimensional closed subgroup B of M such that $W \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} D_i$. Thus, $m_0 \leqq \dim W \leqq \dim M - l$, which contradicts the assumption.

In the case of (ii), we have a subset J of the index set $I = \{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that

$$W \subset \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i, \qquad W \cap \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} D_i = \emptyset.$$

The first inclusion relation implies

$$m_0 \leqq \dim B = \dim W \leqq \dim M - \#J. \tag{4.10}$$

By making use of the quotient mapping $\pi : M \to M/B$ one sees that D_i with $i \notin J$ are B-invariant. Since $D_i, i \in I$, are in general position,

$$m_0 \leqq \dim B \leqq \dim M - \#I \setminus J. \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from (4.10) and (4.11) that $2m_0 \leqq 2 \dim M - l$; this contradicts the assumption.

Remark 4.12 (i) The inequalities obtained in Theorems 1.2, 1.6, Corollaries 1.4 and 1.7 are optimal in general. To confirm it it suffices to show that the estimate in (ii) of Corollaries 1.4 and 1.7,

$$\dim W \leqq \frac{m}{l-m},$$

where $M = V = \mathbf{P}^m$, is optimal. Take an arbitrary integer l such that $m < l \leqq 2m$. Let m_0 be the largest integer which does not exceed $m/(l-m)$. For an arbitrarily given family $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ of hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^m_{\mathbf{Q}}$ in general position, Fujimoto [Fu72] and Green [G72] constructed an imbedding of the m_0-dimensional multiplicative torus $\mathbf{G}^{m_0}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of non-zero rational numbers defined over $\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\mathbf{G}^{m_0}_{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^m_{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} D_i.$$

By taking a finite extension K of $\mathbf{Q}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, it is just easy to have such a variety W of dimension m_0 as it contains a Zariski dense $(\sum D_i \cap W, S)$-integral point set of $W(K)$, or over $\mathbf{C}$ it admits an entire holomorphic curve with Zariski dense image.

(ii) In [MN96] we constructed a number of irreducible hyperbolic hypersurfaces D of $\mathbf{P}^m_{\mathbf{C}}$ whose complements are hyperbolic and hyperbolically imbedded into $\mathbf{P}^m_{\mathbf{C}}$. One may define those D over any number fields. It is an interesting problem to study (D, S)-integral point set of the complement of D. In fact, we proved in [N97] the corresponding finiteness theorems for function fields and S-unit points over a number field.

References

[Ax72] J. Ax, Some topics in differential algebraic geometry II, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 1205-1213.

[B84] V.A. Babets, Picard-type theorems for holomorphic mappings, Siberian Math. J. **25** (1984), 195-200.

[Bl26] A. Bloch, Sur les systèmes de fonctions uniformes satisfaisant à l'équation d'une variété algébrique dont l'irrégularité dépasse la dimension, J. Math. Pures Appl. **5** (1926), 9-66.

[D71] P. Deligne, Théroie de Hodge, II, I.H.E.S. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5-57.

[F91] G. Faltings, Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties, An.. Math. **133** (1991), 549-576.

[Fu72] H. Fujimoto, Extension of the big Picard's theorem, Tohoku Math. J. **24** 1972, 415-422.

[G72] M. Green, Holomorphic maps into complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **169** (1972), 89-103.

[G77] M. Green, The hyperbolicity of the complement of $2n+1$ hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbf{P}_n$, and related results, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1977), 109-113.

[G78] M. Green, Holomorphic maps to complex tori, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 615-620.

[H63] H. Holmann, Komplexe Räume mit komplexen Transformationsguppen, Math. Ann. **150** (1963), 327-360.

[I76] S. Iitaka, Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA **23** (1976), 525-544.

[K80] Y. Kawamata, On Bloch's conjecture, Invent. Math. **57** (1980), 97-100.

[Ko70] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.

[Ko98] S. Kobayashi, Hyperbolic Complex Spaces, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **318**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998.

[L83] S. Lang, Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo, 1983

[L87] S. Lang, Introduction to Complex Hyperbolic Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1987.

[L91] S. Lang, Number Theory III, Encycl. Math. Sci. vol. **60**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-London-Paris-Tokyo-Hong Kong-Barcelona, 1991.

[MN96] K. Masuda and J. Noguchi, A construction of hyperbolic hypersurfaces of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, Math. Ann. **304** (1996), 339-362.

[N77] J. Noguchi, Holomorphic curves in algebraic varieties, Hiroshima Math. J. **7** (1977), 833-853.

[N80] J. Noguchi, Supplement to "Holomorphic curves in algebraic varieties", Hiroshima Math. J. **10** (1980), 229-231.

[N81] J. Noguchi, Lemma on logarithmic derivatives and holomorphic curves in algebraic varieties, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 213-233.

[N91] J. Noguchi, Hyperbolic Manifolds and Diophantine Geometry, Sugaku Exposition Vol. **4** pp. 63-81, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1991.

[N92] J. Noguchi, Meromorphic mappings into compact hyperbolic complex spaces and geometric Diophantine problems, International J. Math. **3** (1992), 277-289.

[N95] J. Noguchi, A short analytic proof of closedness of logarithmic forms, Kodai Math. J. **18** (1995), 295–299.

[N96] J. Noguchi, On Nevanlinna's second main theorem, Geometric Complex Analysis, Proc. the Third International Research Institute, Math. Soc. Japan, Hayama, 1995, pp. 489-503, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.

[N97] J. Noguchi, Nevanlinna-Cartan theory over function fields and a Diophantine equation, J. reine angew. Math. **487** (1997), 61-83.

[N98] J. Noguchi, On holomorphic curves in semi-Abelian varieties, Math. Z. **228** (1998), 713-721.

[NO$\frac{84}{90}$] J. Noguchi and T. Ochiai, Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables, Japanese edition, Iwanami, Tokyo, 1984; English Translation, Transl. Math. Mono. **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1990.

[O77] T. Ochiai, On holomorphic curves in algebraic varieties with ample irregularity, Invent. Math. **43** (1977), 83-96.

[RW91] M. Ru and P.-M. Wong, Integral points of $\mathbf{P}^n - \{2n+1$ hyperplanes in general position$\}$, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 195-216.

[S-Y96] Y.-T. Siu and S.-K. Yeung, A generalized Bloch's theorem and the hyperbolicity of the complement of an ample divisor in an Abelian variety, Math. Ann. **306** (1996), 743-758.

[V96] P. Vojta, Integral points on subvarieties of semiabelian varieties, I, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), 133-181.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Tokyo
Komaba, Meguro,Tokyo 153-8914
e-mail: noguchi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Mathematisches Institut
Rheinsprung 21
CH–4053 Basel
Switzerland
e-mail: jwinkel@member.ams.org

URL: http://www.cplx.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/~jw/index-e.html